Attorney's Docket No.: <u>042390.P5378</u>
Express Mail No.: EM560821585US

25

(if applicable)

1 . ........

## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and cltizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

is areched hereto.

and was amended on \_

was filed on ...

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is histed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

DISTRIBUTED ARBITRATION ON A FULL DUPLEX BUS the specification of which

United States Application Number or PCT International Application Number

the claim(s), as amended by an claimed invention was ever kn patented or described in any property parties to this application, the more than one year prior to this of an inventor's certificate issued America on an application of a utility patent application) or	y amendment referred to aix.  own or used in the United St inted publication in any coun  hat the same was not in pub  s application, and that the in  ed before the date of this appliced by me or my legal report  six months (for a design par	nts of the above-identified speci- tive. I do not know and do not be ates of America before my inve- atry before my invention thereof- lic use or on sale in the United twention has not been patented of pileation in any country foreign esentatives or assigns more than test application) prior to this ap-	ntion there if or more if States of A made the to the Unit twelve morphication.	of, or nan one merica subject red States onths (for
Title 37, Code of Federal Reg	distions, Section 1.30.	to me to be material to patentab		
I hereby claim foreign priority application(s) for patent or inva- application for patent or inven- priority is claimed:	benefits under Title 35, Uni entor's certificate listed belo tor's certificate having a filit	ted States Code, Section 119(a) wand have also identified below ag date before that of the applic	ation on wi	foreign gn bich Claimed
Prior Foreign Application(s)			PHERMY	C. Millies
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Mouth/Year Filed)	Yes	No
I beachy claim the benefit und application(s) listed below	der title 35, United States Co	ode, Section 119(e) of any Unite	ed States pr	ovisional
(Application Number)	Filing Date			
(Application Number)	Filing Date	<del></del>		
042390.P5378	1			TMC/js
1.4000 1.4.70				

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the matter provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)	·

I bereby appeint BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, a firm including: Aloysius T. C. AnYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bercznak, Rog. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Rog. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, fr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Kent M. Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrence M. Cho, Reg. No. 39,942; Thomas M. Coesier, Reg. No. 39,637; Roland B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,152; William Donald Davis, Reg. No. 38,428; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. P41,402; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Sharmini Nathan Green, Reg. No. 41,410; Reg. No. 40,621; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Eric Ho, Reg. No. 39,711; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; Stephen L. King, Reg. No. 19,180; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Ronald W. Reagin, Reg. No. 20,340; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; Steven R. Sponseller, Reg. No. 39,384; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; George O. C. Tseng, Reg. No. 41,355; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my anorneys; and Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. P40,992; Thomas A. Hassing, Reg. No. 36,159; and Edwin A. Sloane, Reg. No. 34,728; my patent agents, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and Joseph R. Bond, Reg. No. 36,458; Richard C. Calderwood, Reg. No. 35,468; Sean Fitzgerald, Reg. No. 32,027; James E. Jacobson, Jr., Reg. No. 31,626; Naomi Obinata, Reg. No. 39,320; Thomas C. Reynolds, Reg. No. 32,488; Howard A. Skalst, Reg. No. 36,008; and Raymond J. Werner, Reg. No. 34,752; my patent attorneys, of INTEL CORPORATION with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecure this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send correspondence to Thomas M. Coester	BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR &
(Name of Attorney or Agent	
ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los	Angeles, California 90025 and direct telephone
calls to Thomas M. Coester	, (310)207-3800.
(Name of Attorney or Agent)	

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

042390.P5378

2

TMC/js

Full Name of Sole/First Inventor Ierrold V. Hanck  Date 1/38/98
Inventor's Signature
Residence Premont California Citizenship U.S.A. (Country)
Post Office Address 48317 Avakon Heights Terrace Fremont California 94539
Paul Name of Second Inventor David W. LaFollette
Pull Name of Second Inventor David W. Larollebe
Inventor's Signature Date
Residence Sunnyvale, California Citizenship U.S.A. (Country)
Post Office Address 1063 Morse Ave., Apt. #3-203 Sunayvale, California 94089

042390.P5378

3

TMC/js

## Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material in Patentahility

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be statisfied if all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be statisfied if all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be statisfied if all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §11.97(b)-(d) and 1.93. However, no patent will be granted on an application in consection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or to combination with other information, a prima facic case of napatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of impatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to syddence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (I) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively havelved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignme or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.